COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY 48TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT FRANKLIN CIRCUIT COURT - DIVISION II CIVIL ACTION NO. 19-CI-00036

NICOLE LIBERTO

PLAINTIFF

VS.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

DEFENDANT

DEPOSITION OF JOE BILBY

The deposition of JOE BILBY was taken on behalf of the Plaintiff before Hunter J. Beamer, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, at the offices of the Kentucky Department of Agriculture, located at 105 Corporate Drive, Frankfort, Kentucky, on Wednesday, February 26, 2020, commencing at 10:52 a.m.

The deposition was taken pursuant to Notice for purposes of discovery, evidence, and for all other purposes allowed under the Kentucky Rules of Civil Procedure.

APPEARANCES

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:

Robert L. Abell LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT L. ABELL 120 North Upper Street Lexington, Kentucky 40507

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:

Heather L. Becker Carmine G. Iaccarino OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 700 Capitol Avenue, Suite 118 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

ALSO PRESENT:

Mark White

I N D E X

DEPONENT: 3	JOE BILBY					
EXAMINATION BY:						
Mr. Abell						
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE						
	EXHIBIT INDEX					
NUMBER	DESCRIPTION IDENT:	IFIED				
EXHIBIT 1	Job Specification for Staff Attorney Mgr/Asst General Counsel Last Revision 5/16/08 (046-048)	12 n				
EXHIBIT 2	Job Class Specification for Staff Attorney Mgr/Asst General Counsel Job Revised 2/16/19 (042-043)	12				
EXHIBIT 3	Job Class Specification for Staff Attorney II Job Revised 2/16/19 (044-045)	12				
EXHIBIT 4	6/22/18 Letter to Ms. Liberto from Mr. Rogers (004-8)	27				
EXHIBIT 5	Annual Employee Performance Evaluation (183-185)	n 28				
EXHIBIT 6	Annual Employee Performance Evaluation (186-191)	n 29				
EXHIBIT 7	10/29/19 Letter to Office of General Counsel from Mr. Abell and Affidavit of Dr. Vincent DeGeare	31				
EXHIBIT 8	2/4/20 Letter to Ms. Liberto from Mr. Rogers	34				

1 JOE BILBY, having been first duly placed under oath to tell the 2 3 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, was 4 examined and testified as follows: 5 EXAMINATION 6 By Mr. Abell: 7 Would you please state your name. 0. My name is Joe Bilby. 8 Α. Mr. Bilby, what is a address at which I can Q. 10 serve a subpoena on you for trial if that became 11 necessary? 12 You can do it here, 105 Corporate Drive, Α. 13 Frankfort. 14 Q. Do you have any relatives that live in 15 Franklin County? 16 None that I know of. Actually, my 17 mother-in-law lives here, so does my brother-in-law and 18 so does his wife. 19 What is their last name? Ο. 20 Α. Stout. 2.1 Any other relatives with any other last name 22 besides Stout? 23 Not that I know of. Α. 24 Okay. Your current position is general 0. 25 counsel for the Kentucky Department of Agriculture,

- 1 | correct?
- 2 A. I believe it's general counsel and executive
- 3 director of the Office of Legal Services.
- 4 Q. You've held that job since July 2016; is that
- 5 | correct?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And your education, you attended and got a
- 8 | bachelor's degree from the University of Virginia.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. Graduated in 2003?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 0. 2007?
- 13 A. No, sir.
- 14 Q. When did you graduate from University of
- 15 | Virginia?
- 16 A. 2001.
- 17 O. You served a term in the United States Marine
- 18 | Corps.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. I believe that was 2003 to 2007.
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Honorably discharged?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Attended law school at University of Kentucky.
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 Q. When did you graduate from law school?
- 2 A. 2011.
- 3 Q. And did you become a member of the Kentucky
- 4 | bar also in 2011?
- 5 A. I did.
- 6 Q. Are you a member of any other state bars?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. You were employed for a period of time by the
- 9 | law firm now known as Stoll Keenon & Ogden?
- 10 A. That's correct. Let me amend a previous
- 11 | answer. I have been admitted to the Federal District
- 12 | Court for the Southern District of Indiana. I don't
- 13 | think that's what you're asking when you say state
- 14 | bars, but I want to say it on the record anyway.
- 15 Q. All right. It wasn't, but thank you anyway.
- 16 A. Sure.
- Q. Go back to my question, you were employed by a
- 18 | law firm now known as Stoll Keenon & Ogden?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. When did you begin working there?
- 21 A. I began in 2011, I think it was the month of
- 22 | September, though I'm not certain, and I stayed there
- 23 until the end of June 2016.
- Q. And in terms of employment, did you basically
- 25 | go directly from Stoll Keenon & Ogden to your position

- 1 | with the Department of Agriculture?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 | Q. Have you tried any cases?
- 4 A. I have.
- 5 Q. How many?
- 6 A. As lead counsel, I did one here in the
- 7 | Franklin Circuit Court last year; my client was the
- 8 | Kentucky Labor Cabinet; plaintiff's name was Michael
- 9 Donta. I also as lead counsel tried a case one time in
- 10 | small claims court in Jefferson County. And then I was
- 11 | second chair or third chair in another four to six
- 12 | cases. I'd have to walk through if you wanted to get
- 13 | into that level of detail.
- 14 Q. You've taken a deposition before.
- 15 A. I have.
- 16 Q. What are your job duties? I'm going to -- can
- 17 | I just refer to your job as "general counsel" just for
- 18 | shorthand purposes and convenience?
- 19 A. That would be fine.
- 20 Q. All right. What are your job duties as
- 21 | general counsel?
- 22 A. I provide advice and support to all the
- 23 | members of the department who need legal advice for the
- 24 | various things that they do in their jobs. Part of my
- 25 | job is providing legal counsel to the Kentucky Board of

- Veterinary Examiners, which this department does on a contract basis. That's the professional licensing board for veterinarians in Kentucky.
 - I spend about a third of my time working in support of Kentucky's hemp program, which is heavy on law and regulations, of necessity. And then I also just play a lot of response to the different inquiries that members of the department bring to me on a weekly if not daily basis. And then of course I supervise Nicole Liberto, who is the second in command within the Office of Legal Services.
 - Q. Have you supervised Ms. Liberto the entire time you've held the position as general counsel?
 - A. I don't believe so. I think in her first year, maybe year and a half, Keith Rogers was her first-line supervisor. I think if you look at her performance evaluations from '16, there may have been a time when a lady named Kim Delaney-Ellis functioned as her supervisor, but I'm not sure of the timeline. At some point I became her direct first-line supervisor. My guess is that the performance evaluation documents would make that clear.
 - Q. Who was Kim Delaney-Ellis?
- A. She was, for a time, the HR director. She was the predecessor of Mark White.

- 1 Q. Well, I also saw that -- well, you referred to
- 2 | Keith Rogers. Keith Rogers, to whom you referred, is
- 3 | the chief of staff for the Department of Agriculture,
- 4 | correct?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Is Mr. Rogers your immediate supervisor?
- 7 A. He is.
- 8 Q. I did see in at least one of Ms. Liberto's
- 9 | evaluations that he had -- Mr. Rogers had done the
- 10 | evaluation.
- 11 A. There was a time when he was her direct
- 12 | supervisor.
- Q. Okay. Have you since becoming general counsel
- 14 | for the Department of Agriculture maintained any type
- 15 of outside or private practice?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | O. And what's the nature of that?
- 18 A. I'm the sole member and owner of Bilby Law
- 19 PLLC, which is lawyering I do on a moonlighting basis.
- 20 Q. And what type of cases or matters do you get
- 21 | involved with with Bilby Law LLC?
- 22 A. It's Bilby Law PLLC.
- Q. I'm sorry.
- A. My two main kind of lanes of the business, the
- 25 one is representing employers in employment law

- 1 | matters, and the other is representing religious
- 2 organizations with different legal needs that they may
- 3 have.
- 4 Q. How does that coordinate with your
- 5 responsibilities as general counsel for the agriculture
- 6 department?
- 7 A. It's two separate matters. And I'm of course
- 8 | bound to not take on matters for Bilby Law PLLC that
- 9 | would create a conflict of interest with the
- 10 department.
- 11 Q. And I saw that Bilby Law PLLC had an address
- 12 of 222 Eastover Drive?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 O. Is that an office or a residence?
- 15 A. It's my residence. I have an office in the
- 16 basement.
- 17 Q. How active are you with Bilby Law PLLC?
- 18 A. Well, it varies. I would say, on average, I
- 19 | spend five, maybe ten hours a week on it.
- 20 Q. You supervise Nicole Liberto. Could you
- 21 describe her job duties as you understand them?
- 22 A. They're set forth in her performance
- 23 evaluation. They include overseeing the department's
- 24 responses to open records requests as they come in;
- 25 notifying me about certain kinds of open records

- 1 requests, which are defined in her performance
- 2 evaluations. She's responsible for supervising Clint
- 3 | Quarles, a staff attorney, and Michael Grant, who's not
- 4 | an attorney but is housed within the Department of --
- 5 or in the Office of Legal Services.
- 6 She also is assigned various tasks from time
- 7 | to time. Those would include performance -- I'm
- 8 | sorry -- personnel board hearings, regulatory revision
- 9 assignments, and any other projects that may come
- 10 | along. She's also expected to make herself available
- 11 to employees of the department who have requests about
- 12 | any particular legal matter that may require the
- 13 | assistance of the Office of Legal Services.
- Q. Are you responsible for issuing any reprimands
- or corrective employee action to Ms. Liberto?
- 16 A. She's my subordinate, so yes.
- 17 Q. Have you ever suggested to anyone that
- 18 | Ms. Liberto should look into pursuing disability
- 19 retirement?
- 20 A. I believe I suggested that to you.
- 21 Q. Anybody else?
- A. I don't think I've ever suggested it to her,
- 23 | though I could be wrong. I can't recall suggesting it
- 24 | to anyone else.
- Q. Have you ever discussed with Mr. Rogers that

14

15

16

17

18

- 1 Ms. Liberto might -- a good solution for her might be 2 pursuing disability retirement?
- A. Any communications that I would have had with Mr. Rogers on that subject would be subject to the attorney-client privilege.
- MR. ABELL: I take it there's no advice
 of counsel defense being asserted in this case?

 MS. BECKER: Not at this time.
- 9 Q. Okay. You spoke once at an industrial hemp 10 seminar, correct?
 - A. It's been more than once.
- Q. Some time ago three job descriptions were produced to me, mark that 1, 2, and 3.

(EXHIBIT 1, EXHIBIT 2 AND EXHIBIT 3 MARKED)

- Mr. Bilby, the prior counsel for the

 Department of Agriculture produced to me I think it's

 512 pages of documents some time ago. Did you ever

 have any chance to look through them?
- 19 A. I did.
- Q. All right. Among those documents, and you can see they're stamped down in the lower-right-hand corner and there's also handwriting on them, the numbers differ for some reason. But anyway, looking at Exhibits 1, 2 or 3 can you tell me which of them, if any, is a job description applicable to Ms. Liberto's

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- position at present?

 A. Well, the definition
 - A. Well, the document you've labeled now as

 Exhibit 3 is for staff attorney II. To my

 understanding, that's not the position that she holds,

 so I would rule that one out as having any bearing on

 her job description. That leaves Exhibit 1 and Exhibit

 2.

Looking at Exhibit 1, and turning to the third page, it shows that this was a job established in 1982 and last revised on May 16th, 2008, so I would call that some time ago, certainly before my time.

And then turning now to Exhibit Number 2, this is a document titled, "Staff Attorney Manager/Assistant General Counsel," which is what I understand her job title to be. It was established in 1982 and last revised on February 16th of 2019, so for that reason alone I would say this one should be the one that's most likely descriptive of her job.

- Q. At present.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. You referred -- do you know if,

 prior to February 16, 2019, whether the job description

 that's marked as Exhibit 1 was applicable to
- Ms. Liberto's position?
- 25 A. I do not know.

- 1 Q. Turn to the second page of Exhibit Number 2.
- 2 | It gives examples of duties, and some of these are
- 3 | plainly not applicable such as preparing, trying and
- 4 | arguing complex cases including capital cases, at least
- 5 to the extent that involves capital cases.
- All right, but let's go through some of these
- 7 duties. First item is "Provides direct support to the
- 8 executive director, commissioner or general counsel in
- 9 the management and delivery of specialized legal
- 10 | services." That's something that's part of
- 11 | Ms. Liberto's duties, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And has been since you've been general
- 14 | counsel.
- 15 A. As I understand it, yes.
- 16 Q. "Manages and coordinates the work of
- 17 designated attorneys and non-attorney staff." You
- 18 referred earlier to her supervision of a staff attorney
- 19 | and a non-attorney staff person, correct?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. Is handling complaints and grievances of
- 22 designated attorneys and non-attorney staff part of her
- 23 | duties?
- A. Yes, in the sense that she is responsible for
- 25 defending -- representing the department in matters

- 1 | brought by KDA employees, which you could characterize
- 2 as complaints and grievances. She did one of those
- 3 | last year and may have an opportunity to do one this
- 4 year.
- 5 Q. Are you talking about defending in personnel
- 6 | board proceedings?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay.
- 9 A. There's also such a thing as a grievance in
- state government that is somehow different than a
- 11 | personnel board complaint. In my mind, and this may be
- 12 | incorrect, a grievance is not as serious of a complaint
- as a personnel board appeal would be. I seem to recall
- 14 | that she may have been involved in dealing with one of
- 15 | these internal grievances, though I don't have any of
- 16 | the details to support that.
- And then at the most basic level, people come
- 18 | to me all the time with things that I would
- 19 characterize as complaints and grievances and they want
- 20 a hearing from the attorney whether or not they're
- 21 | right or they're wrong or what their rights are, so I
- 22 | would imagine that if Nicole, you know, were approached
- 23 by such an employee, that she would also be responsible
- 24 | for handling such a complaint or a grievance from a
- 25 non-attorney staff member of KDA.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 Did I answer your question?
- Q. An example of such a grievance might be, for instance, what?
 - A. My supervisor telling me that I need to help out with task X; that's never been a part of my job; do I have to do it?
 - Q. Do you have any sense of how much work time
 Ms. Liberto devotes to handling complaints and
 grievances as you described them both with respect to
 the personnel board and otherwise?
 - A. When there is a personnel board proceeding that is coming up, I understand it to consume 100% of her time or almost 100% of her time.
 - Q. How many personnel board matters have -- or hearings have there been since you've been general counsel?
 - A. There is the one that occurred in November and December of last year. I can recall one shortly after I came to the department that Ms. Liberto and I handled together. I think that would be in early 2017, though I'm not certain.
- There have been others that have been filed
 where we thought we were going to have to go in for a
 hearing, but they were resolved shortly in one way or
 another.

- 1 Q. All right, looking back at Exhibit 2,
- 2 Performs specialized legal services relating to one or
- 3 more specific areas of the law, " you referred to that
- 4 | earlier, I believe, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. "Prepares, tries and argues complex cases
- 7 before state and federal courts and administrative
- 8 forums." You told us about a couple of personnel board
- 9 matters. Has Ms. Liberto since you've been general
- 10 | counsel had responsibility for other -- whether in a
- 11 | judicial forum or administrative forum -- other
- 12 | litigation?
- 13 A. Not that I can think of. I am aware that she
- 14 has been trained as a hearing officer through the
- training opportunities offered by the office -- I'm
- 16 | sorry, the -- yeah, the Office of the Attorney General.
- 17 | I don't know if she's ever been called upon to act as a
- 18 | hearing officer during my time, but I know she's been
- 19 trained as one.
- 20 Q. The job description, Exhibit Number 2, is this
- 21 used -- if there were an opening for the position,
- 22 | would this job description be used to advertise for it?
- A. I don't know the answer to that.
- Q. All right, going back to -- next item is
- 25 | "Drafts and reviews proposed legislation... and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

be.

- comments on proposed legislation." I believe you referred to that earlier, correct?
- A. It says legislation. I don't -- I'm not aware
 of a time when Nicole has drafted or reviewed proposed
 legislation to be introduced to the Legislature. She
 has done similar work with regard to proposed
 administrative regulations that were being drafted for
 promulgation by the department. So I think that the

word "legislation" there is not as accurate as it could

- For instance, she's been tasked with doing an overhaul of our motor fuels regulation, which has turned into a project that has taken her almost two years to complete, and that would be an administration regulation that she was tasked with drafting.
 - Q. Does she have any responsibility for coordinating legal work performed by contract attorneys?
- 19 A. I lost track of where you were.
 - Q. Well, I skipped over a few because --
- 21 A. Oh, sorry.
- Q. -- we've talked about them.
- 23 A. Oh, okay.
- Q. You referred to them already.
- 25 A. Okay.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But you go down a little bit, says, quote,
 Coordinates legal work performed by contract attorneys.
 - A. In my time we have stopped using contract attorneys, so she's not had an opportunity to do that during my tenure as general counsel.
 - O. Next is --
 - A. Let me qualify that. We still use one firm for intellectual property work, but I handle interaction with those attorneys; she does not.
 - Q. Okay. Next is "Approves settlement of legal actions, consistent with agency procedures." To your knowledge has Ms. Liberto ever had that type of responsibility?
 - A. Not during my time here.
- Q. Next is "Reviews and approves contracts, official orders and other documents for form and legality."
- 18 A. She does that sometimes.
 - Q. Next is "Represents the agency head and/or advises agencies during meetings of various state boards, commissions or similar entities." Does she have that responsibility?
 - A. I can't recall an instance where she attended a meeting of a state board, commission or similar entity, but I can imagine there were times when

- 1 questions that arose within a state board or commission
- 2 or entity came to her for legal guidance. I know that
- 3 | that happens with regard to her subordinate, Clint
- 4 | Quarles. So in a sense, she supervises him and may
- 5 | have substantive input in those efforts, though I don't
- 6 know any of the details.
- 7 Q. Next is "Reviews and makes recommendations on
- 8 agreements and other actions by an agency."
- 9 A. She does that.
- 10 Q. Next is "Drafts and reviews proposed
- 11 | administrative regulations." I believe you referred to
- 12 | that a few minutes ago with -- at least with respect to
- 13 | motor fuels regulations.
- 14 A. That's correct, and she also oversees Clint's
- 15 efforts to do so with administrative regulations
- 16 | touching on other matters.
- 17 Q. Next is "Prepares legal opinions"?
- 18 A. I can't recall a time when she's done that
- 19 | while I've been here.
- 20 | Q. Next is "Recommends policy and procedures to
- 21 | conform with applicable laws and regulations."
- A. I can't think of any specifics here, but I can
- 23 | imagine a scenario where someone comes to her asking a
- 24 question about, "Can we do this or that in the program
- 25 | that I run," and she would then need to consult with

- 1 | the applicable laws and regulations and provide
- 2 | quidance or an answer to that question, though I don't
- 3 | know any of the specifics.
- 4 Q. And the last item would be, it seems, related
- 5 to what you just said, and it says, "Provides legal
- 6 advice to agency personnel."
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you've mentioned several times that comes
- 9 up in a variety of contexts for Ms. Liberto and for
- 10 | yourself as well, correct?
- 11 A. It does, indeed.
- 12 Q. One of her responsibilities is dealing with
- 13 open records requests.
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And reading through these materials, it could
- 16 be considered a significant part of her duties; is that
- 17 | fair?
- 18 A. Think so.
- 19 Q. Walk me through a typical -- the department
- 20 receives an open records request in a typical case, and
- 21 | I know there are atypical ones, but in a typical case
- 22 | walk me through the process when it comes -- the
- 23 request is first received and then the response is
- 24 prepared and sent off.
- A. I'll do my best to summarize, though I may

1 | skip over a step or two.

The request usually comes in almost always in writing. Sometimes it's on the one-page form that has existed for longer than I've been here; sometimes it's simply text in the form of an email or letter. Those are -- no matter who receives it here in the department, they should all be directed to Nicole's attention.

In the email age they are often submitted by whoever received it, sent to Nicole as an email or an email attachment. She then is supposed to study it, make an initial determination about whether or not KDA is even likely to have documents that are responsive to that request and/or whether or not there are exemptions within Kentucky's Open Records Act that could be applicable.

She then is responsible for figuring out who is the person within KDA who is the keeper or custodian of the documents that are responsive to this request. She then shoots an email -- it's almost always email, I believe -- to that KDA employee and requests confirmation that documents exist and also an estimate for how long it would take us to pull together the records that are responsive to that request.

By law she's required within three days to

respond to the requesting party with an initial
assessment of whether or not the request has been
granted or denied or granted with qualifications. And
she also at that time is supposed to tell them an
estimate for how soon -- it's not even an estimate -- a
no-later-than date for when the documents will be

produced to the requesting party.

- She's then responsible for staying in touch with the KDA employee who is supposed to be pulling those documents together and also staying in touch with the requesting party to the extent they have questions or a request for a status report on the department's efforts to comply with the Open Records Act.
- In addition, she's responsible for telling me and our communications guy anytime she receives certain kinds of open records requests which we've learned from experience need to be flagged for my attention and for Mr. Southard's.
- Q. I think requests for media and lawyers were at least two of the types that were supposed to be brought to your attention, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. In terms of responding to the requesting party, and what you have -- at least what I'm understanding is Ms. Liberto has responsibility to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- respond to the requesting party, and depending upon the situation, "We don't have any of that"; "We have some of it, but some of it's exempt"; or, "We're in the process of fulfilling it completely, at least to the extent we understand it," how is that response done?
- 6 Is that email or in writing, a letter, do you know?
 - A. I believe it's usually by email. I would imagine that at an earlier stage in her career it was done by letter, but increasingly we lean on email.
 - And I should also add that -- you're laying out the various scenarios. I think there are times when Nicole has to say to the requesting party, "Now that my three days are up, your request is granted, but I'm not even sure yet whether we have responsive documents; we're working on it; I'll get back to you."
 - Q. I've gotten that response --
- A. Have you?
- Q. -- myself before from agencies. It's not always easy to respond to open records requests.
- 20 A. That's true.
- Q. All right. So at the end of this, does
 Ms. Liberto have responsibility for physically
 retrieving the documents?
- A. Sometimes.
 - Q. How are they put together and delivered, if

- there is a typical way that they're delivered to the requesting party, on a DVD or a hardcopy?
 - A. Usually they are collected by whichever KDA employee she has identified as the keeper of those documents. Usually they are scanned and emailed to her unless the documents are so voluminous that they cannot be sent as an email attachment. I believe that she also has a shared drive file where at least some KDA employees can drop files in for her to retrieve electronically. I'm not certain of that, though.

She then has the responsibility for printing the documents out and inspecting them to identify portions or pages that may need to be redacted and then providing those redactions prior to production.

Sometimes we do physically produce the documents when there's a big stack and it's most time and cost effective to simply print them out and stick them in the mail.

- Q. Ms. Liberto has responsibility you said for supervising two employees. One is a staff attorney. What's that individual's name?
- 22 A. Clint Ouarles.
 - Q. Could you summarize Mr. Quarles' duties?
- A. I'm less familiar with his duties than I am
 with Nicole's, but what I do know is that he is

responsible for many of the same tasks that she is; the main difference being that he doesn't supervise any staff attorneys and she supervises him.

Clint has responsibility for whatever duties are assigned. Thinking back to the last year or so, he has spent a lot of time spearheading regulatory revision efforts for all the other regulations that are promulgated by the state Board of Agriculture and the Kentucky Department of Agriculture.

The reason we've been working so hard for the last two years is because the General Assembly has created a deadline for every agency to go through all of its regs on the books and either update them or identify them to be discarded as no longer necessary, so-called "Red Tape Reduction Initiative." We spent a lot of time on Red Tape Reduction Initiative. Clint has done the lion's share of that work.

- Q. Would it be fair for me to under-- conceiving of Mr. Quarles' duties as generally similar to Ms. Liberto's, absent the supervisory responsibilities?
- A. Yes, although I would add the caveat that there are certain subject matter areas that he just knows a whole lot better than she does. And so my understanding is that over the decade or more they've worked together, they've reached an understanding that

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- when it comes to animal health issues or farmingspecific issues, Clint, being a farm kid, is the go-to
 person on those issues and she handles the others.
 - Q. The other employee that Ms. Liberto supervises his position is what?
 - A. I don't know what his position title is. His name is Michael Grant.
 - Q. Do you know what Mr. Grant's job duties are?
 - A. I believe he assists her with whatever tasks she may ask of him. I believe she has assigned him with responsibility for keeping track of open records requests as they come in and are completed and then closed out. I think I saw at one point a tracker that he had put together at her request. It's meant to keep tabs on all these pending open records requests.

Beyond that, I'm not sure what he does. He is a public notary, so from time to time he's called upon to provide that service.

- Q. Do you know how much time in a work week
 Ms. Liberto devotes to supervising Mr. Quarles and
 Mr. Grant?
- 22 A. I do not.

23 (EXHIBIT 4 MARKED)

Q. Mr. Bilby, I've marked as Exhibit Number 4 a letter dated June 22, 2018. It is from Mr. Rogers to

Yes.

Q.

1 Ms. Liberto. You've seen this before, I'm guessing? 2 Α. I have. 3 Ο. Did you have any role in drafting it? 4 I'm not going to answer any questions about Α. 5 the legal services that I provide to the department. Turn to the third page. On the third page, 6 0. 7 and this is KDA 006, it refers to performance 8 evaluation scores, beginning -- these are for 9 Ms. Liberto, beginning in 2003 and continuing to 2017. 10 Do you see those? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 Do you know what the source of those numbers 13 are? 14 Α. I believe it was from her performance 15 evaluation documents. 16 Okay. I had seen a document that indicated 17 that a overall evaluation score over 250 is a good 18 Is that correct? score. 19 There's a specific definition that's spelled 20 out in the document under your arm. If you'd like to 21 hand it to me, I can tell you what it is. 22 MR. ABELL: Let's mark this Exhibit 23 (EXHIBIT 5 MARKED) 24 Would you like me to answer your question? Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. If you look at the document you've now marked as Exhibit 5, on the first page there's a rating scale of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 on the right-hand column; a rating that falls in the 3 tier is defined as "adequately meets expectations." And I believe that if you were to look at a completed performance plan set from 2019 or some other year, one of the last pages would spell that out explicitly.

(EXHIBIT 6 MARKED)

- Q. All right. Exhibit 6, I think if you turn to the last page, and it's KDA 191...
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. At the top.
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. I have the heading, "Overall Performance Evaluation," and it has five boxes, and the middle box says "good" and in parentheses says "250 to 349."
- 18 A. That's what it says.
 - Q. So my conclusion was that a score of 250 or better -- a overall performance evaluation score of 250 or better was in the good employee category.
 - A. It's a score of 250 to 349, according to this form, denotes good, yes.
 - Q. And turning back to Exhibit 4, the letter, I concluded that the summary of Ms. Liberto's performance

8

9

10

11

16

17

- evaluations indicated that she had always scored at the level of a good employee or better. Is that fair?
- A. Assuming, of course, that in these early years
 the annual performance evaluation rubrics were the same
 as the ones from the latter years, that would be
 correct.
 - Q. Do you know whether any determination -- so what you're saying is evaluations could have been scored differently prior to your arrival in the department, correct?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And, therefore, if we see, for instance, 453 for 2003, that may indicate a good employee; it may not. You're not sure. Is that fair?
- 15 A. That's right.
 - Q. Do you know if any effort was made to determine whether there was any scoring deviation in these years?
- 19 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Looking still at Exhibit Number 4, at the top
 of -- it'd be the fourth page of this exhibit, in that
 first paragraph, and I'll read the portion I'm
 interested in. It says, quote, it is unlikely that you
 could nevertheless perform your job duties adequately,
 regardless of where you were physically located at the

1 time, end quote. 2 Do you know whether any -- well, physician was 3 consulted with respect to that conclusion? 4 I don't remember. 5 0. Down at the bottom there's a footnote, 6 footnote 4, and it reads, quote, As I mentioned Monday, 7 there are no other employees within the Office of Legal 8 Services, the Office of Communications, or the Office 9 of Administrative Services who are permitted to work 10 from home, end quote. 11 Do you know whether there are any other 12 employees in any of those offices that have a heart 13 condition similar to Ms. Liberto's? 14 Α. I'm not aware of any. 15 We met and talked briefly in early January, Ο. 16 correct? 17 Α. We did. 18 (EXHIBIT 7 MARKED) 19 0. Mr. Bilby, Exhibit 7 is a document consisting 20 of a letter I sent to the former counsel for the 21 Department of Agriculture and an affidavit from 22 Dr. Vincent DeGeare. You've seen this before, I'm 23 I know you have, because we've discussed it 24 before. Correct? 25 Α.

Yes.

- 1 Q. When did this first come to your attention?
- 2 A. It was on or after the letter was received by
- 3 Mr. Swansburg and Ms. Root.
- 4 O. Would it be fair to conclude that would have
- 5 been in late October or early November?
- 6 A. I believe so.
- 7 Q. Did Dr. DeGeare's affidavit tell you anything
- 8 you didn't already know?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 O. What was that?
- 11 A. Well, for one thing, it was quite a bit more
- 12 extensive than the previous letters that she had
- 13 | provided from physicians, which I believe included
- 14 Dr. DeGeare, though I'm not certain of that.
- 15 Q. There was. There was a prior letter to
- 16 Dr. DeGeare; I believe it's referred to in that other
- 17 | letter we were discussing --
- 18 A. I think so too, and I think I've seen letters
- 19 from other doctors that she --
- 20 Q. Dr. Miguel as well --
- 21 A. I can't remember the names.
- 22 Q. -- that I think it was from 2011.
- 23 A. That could be. I don't know their names.
- 24 Q. To your knowledge, was any -- did the
- 25 department make any effort from another physician or

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

- other appropriate person to get some feedback regarding what Dr. DeGeare was saying in this affidavit?
 - A. Can you ask the question a bit more precisely?
 - Q. Well, I had Dr. DeGeare review and prepare and sign this, because the department's answer said, as I read it, "We really don't know enough about her condition to know whether or not it's a disability."

 Okay? All right. Fair enough. So I wanted him to

I'm not a cardiologist and you aren't either, so you read this, you learn some things. I'm really not in a position to know whether or not they make sense or not. I'm guessing you're not either. So you might consult with another physician or some other authority that could tell you whether or not what Dr. DeGeare is saying makes any sense. Do you know whether that type of effort was undertaken?

- A. Consultations with another physician?
- 19 O. Yes.
 - A. I'm not aware of any.
- Q. What to you was most significant about
- 22 Dr. DeGeare's affidavit?

explain the condition.

- 23 A. I cannot remember what I thought was the most 24 significant portion or paragraph of his affidavit at 25 the time when I read it.

- Q. You discussed it -- well, you don't want to
 talk about that. Well, let me ask you anyway. You
 discussed Dr. DeGeare's affidavit with Mr. Rogers?

 A. I'm not going to answer any questions about my
- Q. I thought that was your answer, but I needed to ask anyway.

Was part of your responsibilities when my
letter and Dr. DeGeare's affidavit was received to
formulate the department's response?

- 11 A. I'm not going to answer any questions about 12 that.
- 13 (EXHIBIT 8 MARKED)

discussions with Mr. Rogers.

- Q. Mr. Bilby, you've seen Exhibit 8 before, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. You're going to decline to answer any question regarding what role, if any, you had in drafting
- 19 Exhibit 8?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Looking at the first page, Hannah Blair Mann, is she still an employee with the department's Office of Consumer and Environmental Services?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Explain what Ms. Liberto and Ms. Blair did

- working -- there's a reference -- if you know -working together at a table in a conference room over
 the past two years.
 - A. Well, Nicole has told me that she and Hannah have met dozens of times, for hours at a time, to do a complete rewrite of the administrative regulation that oversees KDA's motor fuels program. This was an assignment that I gave to her in April of 2018 and it still isn't finished.

The two of them would sit down and talk through the technical ins and outs of the industry and KDA's regulatory role with regard to the industry in an effort to craft an administrative regulation that an ordinary member of the public can read and understand and that also accurately reflects the motor fuels program that we run here at KDA.

The reason that was necessary is that the previous regulation had not been updated, I believe in more than a decade, and there were large parts of how we run our motor fuels program you would not have known or understood from reading the existing regulation.

- Q. So it's your understanding that Ms. Liberto and Ms. Blair spent hundreds of hours over the course I guess roughly over the last two years.
 - A. She's told me that.

- Q. Do you have any sense of is hundreds 200 or 2 600 or do you know?
 - A. I think that what Nicole told me in a meeting recently is that she believes they met approximately 60 times and that the average meeting was six hours in length such that the total number of estimated hours on Nicole's part would be 360 hours.
 - Q. Over the course of a couple of years.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Second page, under heading B, second paragraph there, the concluding sentence reads, in part, quote, we do not believe that your productivity on work-from-home days is, or could be, commensurate to your productivity on days when you are physically present in your office, end quote. Correct?
 - A. That's what it says.
 - Q. Do you know when, if at all, Ms. Liberto had been granted a reasonable accommodation by the department to work from home?
 - A. I have seen documents in department files, I believe which have been produced to you already, that provide information about when the accommodation was extended to her and under what circumstances. Those events predate my time here.
 - Q. Do you know whether at any time the

- 1 | accommodation included work from home?
- 2 A. I believe that it did.
 - Q. And that was prior to 2016?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Look at Exhibit 4, and we talked about this earlier, on page 3 it referred to her performance evaluation scores, and I'd understood, frankly, that all the scores were in the good employee category. If she prior to 2016 had a reasonable accommodation of working at home, why were her performance evaluation scores higher than they have been after 2016?
 - A. I don't know the answer to that.
 - Q. Does that indicate to you that she functioned well and was very productive when having a reasonable accommodation that permitted her to work at home?
 - A. No.
- Q. Why not?
 - A. Well, I see a steady decline in the scores that were given to her by whoever it was that was doing her performance evaluation in 2007 and 2008 and 2009 and 2010 and 2011 and 2012 and 2013 and 2014 and 2015 and 2016 and 2017. And I would note, in fact, that in 2017 Nicole did have a work-from-home arrangement, albeit of a different sort, so she was working from home in calendar year 2017 when she received that

- 1 | performance evaluation of 251.
- Q. And was 2017 the first year that you were responsible for her evaluation?
 - A. It was beginning in around early 2017 that I became responsible for her evaluation.
 - Q. It's nevertheless true that at all times, whatever accommodation has been afforded Ms. Liberto, her performance evaluation scores put her in the good employee category; isn't that correct?
 - A. According to the rubric that is written into the state's performance evaluation system, that's correct. I don't agree.
 - Q. Turning to the third page of Exhibit 8, first paragraph, it concludes, last sentence, in part -- well, I'll just read the whole thing. Quote, We believe that your sweating, shaking, fast heartbeat, blurred vision, vertigo, and paresthesia symptoms, when you are experiencing them, make it difficult" -- should be -- "if not impossible for you to perform your job tasks, regardless of whether you are physically present in your office or at home at such times, end quote.

Do you know if any healthcare provider or physician was consulted with respect to that statement?

A. I'm not aware of any.

MR. ABELL: Let's take a brief break.

1	(OFF THE RECORD)
2	Q. Mr. Bilby, earlier you referred to a personnel
3	board hearing that took place, I believe you said in
4	November and December of 2019. What was the outcome of
5	that?
6	A. We're still awaiting the outcome.
7	MR. ABELL: That's all the questions I
8	have for you today. I will say that I understand
9	you've invoked the attorney-client privilege. It is my
10	understanding if I subsequently get testimony from
11	Mr. White or Mr. Rogers they seem like the most
12	likely candidates something to the effect of "on
13	advice of counsel" or "based on advice of counsel," it
14	may be necessary for us to reconvene, but with that
15	said, that's all the questions I have for you today.
16	
17	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
18	(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED AT 11:54 A.M.)
19	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

COMMONV	VEAI	LTH	OF	KENTUCKY)	
)	SS
COUNTY	OF	FA	ET:	ΓE)	

I, HUNTER J. BEAMER, REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER and NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of Kentucky at Large, do hereby certify that the facts as stated by me in the caption hereto are true; that the foregoing answers in response to the questions as indicated were made before me by the deponent hereinbefore named, after said deponent had first been duly placed under oath, and were thereafter reduced to computer-aided transcription by me and under my supervision, and that the same is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am not employed by, nor related by blood or marriage to any of the parties hereto, and that I have no interest in the outcome of the captioned case.

No party to this action nor counsel for said parties nor the deponent requested that the foregoing deposition be signed by the deponent.

My commission expires: January 26, 2024.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand on this the 27th day of February, 2020.

the Kleingartner GROUP, INC.

HUNTER J. BEAMER (KYNP94) NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE AT LARGE